Governing Well

Challenges of achieving evidence based Cabinet decisions

Roundtable Workshop for Cabinet Secretaries Addis Ababa, February 2014

Professor Brian Howe, Centre for Public Policy University of Melbourne

Governing well

- I will talk about the process of government and the challenges and opportunities for evidence based decision making. Key themes will be:
- The necessity to keep adapting and learning from experience
- The importance of evidence over anecdotes
- The need to continually revisit issues as the political, social and economic environment constantly evolves and changes

What is evidence based policy?

- At the core of government is decision making
- Good decisions are usually well informed and follow critical discussion
- There will be different kinds of evidence used to justify arguments
- Finance ministries focus on efficiency
- Spending ministries emphasize outcomes
- Weighing the balance!!

The importance of evidence (1)

- Nelson Mandela in 1996, the census must go ahead
- Governments must avoid the Ostrich syndrome
- The reality of ceaseless change and global mobility, more complex economies and societies make research, investigation necessary
- It is vital to have good primary data often collected across countries and allowing broad comparisons
- Measuring economic progress is important as is a focus on key social domains such as health and education to assess social progress
- Regional/spatial/cultural differences matter

The importance of evidence (2)

- Interpretation of evidence often difficult and contested
- Universities and research institutes play an increasingly important role in modern government
- An example is the African Union's commitment to building a pan African information base and encouraging the sharing of information across countries

The importance of evidence (3)

- Researchers provide information and suggest different ways to think about a problem
- May be less useful when it comes to addressing the issues in terms of the practical policy options
- A key issue is the way researchers interact with government
- Important that there is interaction and mutual learning
- The academic researcher may not understand the policy process, or the complexities of government
- On the other hand, grounded research can make for good policy

How Cabinet makes evidence based decisions

Cabinet focus is important

- Giving the most time to the more important issues
- Providing space for exhaustive discussions
- Getting Cabinet interested in the problem and not only possible solutions
- It is usually the more complex issues that make the Cabinet agenda
- It is important to find ways of expanding Ministers understanding of the issue before making a final decision

Cabinet processes

- The use of specialist standing committees
- Cabinet in Committee and resolving an issue in stages
- Bringing in outside experts to brief Cabinet
- The role of advisers in Cabinet or Cabinet Committees
- Giving thought to presentation of issues

How a robust policy process supports evidence based decision-making

The policy process

- The contested nature of politics requires an ordered approach to making decisions as follows:
- Identify issues, define and articulate problem
- Policy analysis, collect information, clarify objectives and develop options proposals
- Undertake consultations
- Move to decision
- Implement and evaluate

Policy design (1)

 Governments are in the business of making important decisions, deciding between alternative courses of action but pressures affecting policy making include......

Policy design (2)

- Policy context and the focus on the next election
- Perceived public opinion
- Stakeholder opinion
- Politics and power
- Pragmatics (e.g. available resources)
- Ideology, values, habits, traditions
- Media lobbying

Policy design (3)

- Evidence based and influenced policy important in managing these pressures
- At the level of Cabinet, policy will need to pass various tests such as political and economic feasibility
- Ministers should convince Cabinet that the policy proposals are supported by evidence and will achieve the objective, e.g. reducing poverty within a given time span
- Cabinet officials should think about the way the proposed policy is presented, e.g. use of tables, charts

Maximum feasible participation

- Consultation is an important part of developing evidence based policy
- To achieve change, you must bring people with you
- In anticipating policy reform, those most affected should be part of the decision making
- In Australia, former Prime Minister Bob Hawke successfully used summits to bring diverse interests into the policy process and achieve 'buy in' for difficult decisions

The importance of an implementation strategy

- A rigorous government will not just agree to what sounds like a good idea
- Australian academic Hugh Stretton referred to "Simple ideas but complex programs"
- Cabinet needs to be convinced that the Minister has devised an implementation plan that will achieve the foreshadowed results, and that the Minister's strategy will work
- The policy may need to be introduced in stages with reporting back
- Too often the practicalities of implementation can undermine an otherwise valuable policy initiative

The value of a cooperative approach to achieving evidence based Cabinet decisions

Breaking down the silos

- Important to achieve cooperation of Ministers and ministries to investigate a policy problem and seek to understand it in a holistic way
- A multi pronged strategy involves a number of ministries co-operating to develop a joint submission based on joint research and investigation
- Important role of public sector leadership in achieving cooperation

Building and sustaining a wider evidence base

- The collation of primary data and statistics across jurisdictions is important in Federal systems of government and broader communities of interest such as Europe and Africa
- In Australia, there are challenges to developing broad-based evidence because the States may be reluctant to share data or even experience
- Drawing on diverse sources of evidence enables comparisons of what works and what doesn't, and creates incentives to achieve better practice

How effective evaluation supports evidence based decision making

Evaluation (1)

- The research and monitoring task in modern government is ongoing and just as important as policy design
- Governments should insist on objective and independent evaluations of policies and programs
- Evaluation has become a critical tool in measuring policy effectiveness

Evaluation (2)

- In the past evaluation was seen as a threat whereas it is increasingly a test of credibility
- In my experience, a positive evaluation of a program was critical to sustaining policy momentum with Finance and Treasury
- Also important in providing an evidential basis for Cabinet discussions where spending Ministers needed to convince line departments
- Source of important evidence for line departments given their critical role

Benchmarking

- Another way of thinking about effective evaluation
- For example, Cabinet may decide to make an effort to increase participation of primary school age children in education
- Establishing milestones that will be progressively achieved provides a strong incentive to reach the goals set
- Also important to make transparent what governments are trying to achieve, thus helping to build support among the public
- Governments need to agree on what they will accept as realistically successful outcomes

Achieving change is an ongoing process

- It is important for ministries to be learning communities that are building intellectual capital
- Private consultants are important but learning should not be lost and consultants support the developmental process in government as well as in communities
- The experience shared by people in government agencies needs to be recognized and valued

Conclusion

- There will always be tension between policy and politics
- It is likely that it will be public servants who will recognize the importance of the overall process
- Shift in modern government to recognize need for a holistic approach to policy development
- Constant need to revisit issues